

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/563,113	01/03/2006	Franco Mela	41501/AJ/cd	1119	
75	90 09/2	/2006	EXA	EXAMINER	
Modiano & As		•	SHAW, C	SHAW, CLIFFORD C	
Via Meravigli 1 Milano, 2012			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ITALY		·	1725	1725	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/20	DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commence	10/563,113	MELA, FRANCO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Clifford C. Shaw	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value and the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u>				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 03 January 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0103.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/563,113 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

## **Detailed Action**

1.) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.) Claims 9-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the WIPO document no. WO91/15328 (cited by applicant) taken with Lilly (6,111,215). Figure 1 and the discussion thereof in the WIPO document no. WO91/15328 disclose a portable welder with features claimed, including: body 16 containing a welding generator; handle 14; ground clamp 65; switch 58. The claims differ from the teachings of the WIPO document no. WO91/15328 in calling for electrode clamps, and in calling for a cooling fan. It would have been obvious to have provided the portable welder of the WIPO document no. WO91/15328 with electrode clamps and cooling fan, the motivation being the teachings of Lilly (6,111,215) that these features are useful in a welder (see the electrode clamps at element 153 in figure 12 and the cooling fan discussed at column 6, lines 4-16 in Lilly (6,111,215)).
- 3.) Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the WIPO document no. WO91/15328 taken with Lilly (6,111,215) as applied to claim9-11 and 13-16 above, and further in view of the prior art acknowledged by applicant. The only aspect of the claim to which the rejection above does not apply is the provision for a particular type of

Art Unit: 1725

welding generator comprised of an AC/DC converter and an EMC filter. This difference does not patentably distinguish over the prior art. On page 4, lines 11-13 of his specification, applicant acknowledges that the AC/DC converter and EMC filter that he uses are known components of welding generators. At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to have used any conventional welding generator in the system of the WIPO document no. WO91/15328, including the one claimed, the motivation being to substitute the welding generator of the WIPO document no. WO91/15328 with a well known welding generator in order to derive the benefits thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,113 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford C Shaw Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

September 22, 2006